1/9/95

Dear Harold,

I spoke to Maryann about typing for you and unfortunately she's unable to take on any extra work at this time. I know a few people here in N.Y.C. who might be amenable, although my sense is that they might be too expensive to make the effort worthwhile. I will, nonetheless, ask around.

As for the stuff you need researched, I'm getting a little busy with some theatre projects, but I expect to have some time to do the research in the next few weeks.

I found it interesting that you see Judge as "never interested in the fact of the assassination." I recently got into a debate w/Judge's friend Bill Kelly over the same subject. The debate started when I tried to steer our (assassination) conversation toward what I also consider the "important" aspect, ie: "the fact" of it. Kelly dismissed me out of hand by saying "nobody's interested in that anymore because we all know there was a conspiracy, and now it's important to find out who was behind it." But the problem, as I see

it is common enough of the citizenry, but particularly the powers-that-be that a new investigation is in order. As I've said before, I don't disparage the efforts of those who seek the plaudits & executors of the assassination but until some sort of official (i.e. Gov't sanctioned) investigation is launched then looking for the plotters is essentially a form of masturbation. Simply put, I do not believe there is any researcher, or any group which has the financial and legal firepower to get anything substantial done.

Which is one reason why I think Summers' piece (the LaFontaine's not-withstanding) is ultimately helpful to the search for truth. And that is precisely because not much is offered in the way of who-dunnit. Just the fact that a reasonable, intelligent case is made for the Official Story's lack of truth, and this case is presented to a wide audience, is cause for hope. And right now, hope is about all I have left.

Regards,

JR